Multiscale molecular dynamics simulations of micelles: coarse-grain for self-assembly and atomic resolution for finer details†
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A positional interpolation/extrapolation method for the mapping of coarse-grained (CG) to atomistic (AT) resolution is presented and tested for single-component micelles formed by lysophospholipids of different chain length. The target CG nanoaggregates were self-assembled from random mixtures of surfactants in explicit MARTINI water and equilibrated by molecular dynamics simulations, at the microsecond time scale. The ambiguity inherent in the definition of the CG particles was explored by mapping the same CG structures to AT resolution surfactants of different size. After the conversion, the obtained AT micelles were simulated for 250 ns and the resulting trajectories were analyzed in detail. The mean lifetime of the surfactant–solvent interactions as well as the lateral diffusion coefficients of the surfactant molecules within the micellar aggregates were obtained for the first time. The results suggest that the individual molecules within the micelle behave like lipids in a fluid membrane. The employed mapping back method is efficient and versatile, as it can be applied to diverse combinations of force fields and systems with a minimum of code development. In a general context, this work illustrates the power of multiscale molecular dynamics simulations for the generation and subsequent examination of self-assembled structures, including the fine characterization of structural and dynamic properties of the resulting aggregate.

1. Introduction

Typical micelles are relatively small self-assembled structures that form spontaneously when surfactant molecules are dissolved in water at a concentration higher than the so-called critical micelle concentration (cmc). It is well known that, in general, the average number of molecules per micelle (aggregation number), and hence its geometry/size, depends on the structure of the corresponding surfactant molecule as well as on the temperature, the pH, the ionic strength, and the presence and concentration of co-solutes and co-solutes. Micelle structures have been the focus of many studies over the last century as they are of primary importance for many fundamental and technological applications. Additionally, they represent the former structured aggregates that typically appear throughout the pathway toward the formation of more complex supramolecular structures. A number of experimental techniques including surface tension, microcalorimetry, (cryo) TEM and SEM, SAXS, SANS, NMR, light scattering, sound velocity, and density measurements have been employed to characterize the structure, dynamic behavior and thermodynamic properties of micellar systems. More recently, computational Monte Carlo (MC) and Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation studies allowed getting complementary information at the atomic (AT) level and at the pico- and nano-second time scales. In particular, the utilization of the semi-grand-canonical ensemble in MC simulations, which keeps the number of total molecules constant but allows changing the concentration of the system by mutating the molecules identity, has recently proved to be much more efficient than the use of the canonical or grand-canonical ensembles for self-assembly studies. The utilization of MD simulations is more popular. In some cases, AT resolution micelles can be obtained from a random mixture of surfactants in aqueous solution within a reasonable simulation time, but most of the MD computational studies aimed at studying micelles are based on preassembled aggregates or are performed just at the coarse-grained (CG) level. Moreover, in some cases both approaches are combined, adding up their respective limitations. The behavior of a preformed micelle is clearly affected by the number of surfactant molecules assigned to the structure in the simulation and, to a lesser extent, by their initial conformation. MD simulations of reverse micelles and of mixed protein–lipid systems were critically reviewed in that respect by Nevidimov and Razumov and by Böckmann and
First, the simulation methodology for the coarse-grained and atomistic resolution levels, as well as the mapping back approach, is described in detail. Second, the main results for both types of simulations are examined, including for the finer study the structure and dynamic behavior of surfactants within the aggregates and micelle–solvent interactions. Finally the main conclusions are briefly discussed, stressing the multiscale simulation methods’ suitability to deal with nanoaggregates and analyzing how the strengths of each resolution level are exploited in such context.

2. Methodology

2.1. General simulation parameters

Simulations at both CG and AT resolution levels were performed using periodic boundary conditions with the GROMACS MD engine version 3.3.3.68,69 The initial velocities were randomly assigned to produce a Maxwell distribution at the working temperature. The equations of motion were integrated using the leapfrog method with 30 fs and 2 fs time steps for the CG and AT simulations, respectively. All the simulations were performed in the NPT ensemble. Water and surfactant molecules were separately coupled to a Berendsen thermostat69 at 300 K with a common period of 1 ps (CG) and 0.1 ps (AT). The pressure was isotropically controlled at 1 bar using a Berendsen barostat69 with an isothermal compressibility of $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ bar}^{-1} \text{ (CG)}$ and $4.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ bar}^{-1} \text{ (AT)}$. The analysis of the trajectories was performed using tools of the GROMACS package, the viewers RasMol 2.7 and PyMOL 0.99,61,62 as well as programs specifically developed for this work. Detailed descriptions of each simulation type as well as of the CG to AT transformation method are given below.

2.2. Coarse-grained simulations

The MARTINI force field (version 2.0) developed by Marrink et al.69 was employed to model all the CG molecules. This force field is based on a four-to-one mapping, meaning that on an average four heavy atoms are represented by a bead or single interaction center. As proposed for phosphatidylcholine (PC) lipids,63 the lyso-C$_n$PCs were parameterized using four beads for the PC head together with the first 2–3 CH$_2$ groups of the surfactant tail, and one, two or three additional hydrophobic beads (HB) for the rest of the tail. Unless the coarse-graining procedure is set up to be close to the chemical structure,52 the loss of resolution inherent to CG force fields introduces ambiguities in the definition of the molecules, in such a way that several AT structures could be modeled using the same CG representation. Thus, we decided to refer to these CG structures as $n$HB-PC (with $n=1,2$ or 3 indicating the number of HBs) instead of using names of real molecules. Random mixtures consisting of 234 CG molecules and $\sim$23 000 MARTINI water particles were introduced in rhombic dodecahedron boxes of edge length 15 nm. Bearing in mind that the solvent is also affected by the four-to-one mapping, the total concentration of surfactant molecules in the simulated systems is $\sim$139 mM. This should suffice to produce aggregates even in the 1HB-PC case, as the MARTINI force field has been reported to underestimate the cmc of zwitterionic and nonionic surfactants by two orders of magnitude at
298 K \(^{46}\) (the cmc of PPC and LPC are 0.007 and 0.7 mM, respectively, whereas that of HPC is estimated to be around 700 mM by extrapolation\(^{46}\)). Each system was energy minimized using the steepest descent method and then six independent 5 \(\mu\)s long trajectories per system were performed starting from different initial random velocities. A cutoff of 1.2 nm was employed for the nonbonded interactions. The \(L-J\) potential was smoothly shifted to zero between 0.9 and 1.2 nm. A similar approach was employed for the electrostatic interactions, considering a Coulombic potential with a relative permittivity of 15 together with a shift function from 0 to 1.2 nm. The neighbor list was updated every 10 steps.

2.3. Atomistic simulations

The AT simulations were performed using the extended simple point charge (SPC/E) water model together with the GROMOS96 (53a6) force field for the surfactant molecules. The parameters for the bonded interactions of the lysophospholipids were adapted from the Berger\(^{46}\) based topologies for members of the 1,2-diacyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine family, available at the Tieleman’s lab webpage.\(^{46}\) In order to build the AT simulation boxes, selected CG micelles were backmapped (see next subsection) and introduced in rhombic dodecahedron boxes of such dimensions so as to leave a minimum distance of 0.8 nm from the walls to any micelle atom. The simulation box was then filled with a number of water molecules ranging from 10 452 to 13 063, depending on the system. After energy minimization, the systems were simulated for 250 ns with the configurations stored every 10 ps for analysis. Nonbonded interactions were evaluated using a twin range cutoff of 0.8 and 1.4 nm. Interactions within the shorter and longer cutoffs were updated every step and every five steps, respectively. Beyond the 1.4 cutoff, a reaction field correction was applied with a relative permittivity of 78. The use of a reaction field instead of the more accurate PME algorithm for the evaluation of the long range interactions was chosen to save computational time, assuming that the influence of that choice is small in the simulation of our target systems: relatively compact micelles. Note that the use of PME would slow down the simulations by a factor of \(\sim 3\) and that its effect is expected to be more serious for the simulation of self-assembly processes, not considered at the AT level in the present work. The bond lengths and \(\text{H}–\text{O}–\text{H}\) angle in water were constrained using the SETTLE algorithm; the LINCS algorithm was used to constrain bond lengths in the surfactant molecules.

2.4. Description of the backmapping method

A representative micelle for each CG molecule was selected from the several nanoggregates spontaneously built throughout the CG trajectories (see below). The atomic coordinates of each surfactant molecule forming the micelle were obtained by simple geometrical interpolation/extrapolation of the CG coordinates, approximating the distances and angles between bonded atoms. More specifically, the AT representation of a linear chain, where each CG bead is transformed into \(n\) atoms, is obtained by using the position of the reference CG particle for the first atom and distributing the remaining \(n–1\) coordinates along the segment joining two consecutive CG particles, at equivalent distances from each other. To map a terminal group into several atoms, the new \(n–1\) coordinates are obtained by extrapolation in the opposite direction of the vector joining the terminal CG particle with the preceding one. Any extrapolation was performed by using a conservative approach to the bonding distance between the corresponding atoms to avoid overlapping with neighbor molecules after the backmapping process. The peripheral atoms in a chain were placed with a random orientation on the plane containing their corresponding reference bead and perpendicular to the segment that connects such a bead with the closest one. In order to examine the ambiguity in the definition of the MARTINI particles,\(^{67}\) each of the hydrophobic beads of 2HB-PC (3HB-PC) was mapped back into 2 (3) or 4\(^{1/2}\) (4\(^{3/2}\) ) \(\text{CH}_2\) groups on average. Thus, a total of five AT micelles were obtained from the backmapping of the three selected CG nHB-PC micelles. A minimization of the system using the AT force field was performed after the backmapping process in order to optimize the structure of the molecules as well as their interaction with neighbors. In the present work the GROMOS96 G53a6 force field with the GROMACS software was employed with such purpose, but it is worth noting that the procedure would be equivalent to any other combination of force field and MD engine. The whole process for the transformation of a single CG 2HB-PC structure to an AT LPC molecule, which takes just a few seconds in a standard computer (thus affording a typical micelle of tens of units in \(\sim 1\) minute), is illustrated in Fig. 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Coarse-grained MD simulations and selection of the CG micelles

As explained above, six 5 \(\mu\)s long MD simulations were performed for each of the three nHB-PC structures \((n = 1, 2, 3)\), starting in each case from 234 CG solute molecules at random positions/orientations that were dissolved in \(\sim 23\) 000 CG water particles. The spontaneous aggregation of the nHB-PC units within each simulation box was monitored as a function of time by using cutoff values of 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, and 0.7 nm to define the direct interaction between them. It was found that 0.4 nm is too short to study the molecular contact at this resolution level (which is not surprising as 0.47 nm is the closest distance of approach between two particles\(^{46}\)) while the results obtained
using 0.6 and 0.7 nm are similar, as shown in Fig. 2 for two representative trajectories of each system. For comparison, Sanders and Panagiotopoulos \(^{45}\) and Kraft et al. \(^{67}\) selected by visual inspection a cutoff of 0.6 nm to trace the cluster behavior of dodecylphosphocholine and 1,2-dihexanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, respectively, under the MARTINI force field. It also appears from Fig. 2 that the selected time scale, which is hardly reachable at atomistic resolution for systems of similar size, is appropriate for this kind of self-aggregation studies. The expected trend, namely, that the number of clusters decreases throughout the trajectories as their size grows, is exemplified by the 2HB-PC case (Fig. 2, middle). A much faster initial decrease in the number of 3HB-PC clusters leads to finished growth of the largest aggregates at the very beginning of the trajectory (right panel at the bottom). In the 1HB-PC case, the number of clusters suffers large fluctuations but no drift, thus revealing a pre-cmc state. The larger the number of hydrophobic beads in the CG molecule, the lower the number of clusters and the larger the maximum number of units forming the aggregates. Considering the information obtained from this analysis, three micelles consisting of 33, 67, and 84 nHB-PC with \(n = 1, 2, \) and \(3, \) respectively, were chosen for the backmapping process and subsequent AT MD simulations. The selected aggregates were those with the largest number of molecules that were shown to be stable for a significant part of the trajectory. They are expected to be well suited to later study macromolecule–micelle interactions, which is of interest to us to complement previous experiments. \(^{57}\) Note that the fluctuations in the right panel of Fig. 2, indicating the number of nHB-PC units in the largest aggregate, are due to collisions (approximations within the cutoff) between CG particle clusters throughout the trajectories. For the larger cutoffs (0.6, 0.7) such events may be also revealed by a fractional average number of clusters in the stationary part of the trajectory (Fig. 2, left panel at the bottom). As stated in the Methodology section, all the CG simulations presented in this work were performed using the standard MARTINI water model as solvent. The employment of the polarizable MARTINI water \(^{68}\) (PLW) instead has very recently proven to reproduce better the micellization properties of 1,2-dihexanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine in MD simulations at CG resolution, by increasing the concentration of free surfactant and decreasing the average size of the aggregates. \(^{67}\) The use of PLW in our systems does not have any practical advantage since the simulations would be \(\sim 3\) times slower and we are not aiming to simulate real molecules at the CG level, but nHB-PC structures that will later be mapped to different real AT molecules.

### 3.2. Backmapping of the CG aggregates and atomistic MD simulations

The backmapping process was performed as explained in the Methodology section. Thus, five AT micelles were obtained from the three selected CG aggregates (Fig. 3), namely, two HPC
Both the compacting stage and the optimization of the micelle structure after the backmapping process are reflected in the time evolution of several dynamic and structural properties of the surfactants as well as in their interaction with the solvent molecules.

### 3.3. Properties of the micelles

#### 3.3.1. Volume per surfactant

The average volume available per surfactant molecule ($V_s$) as a function of time was determined by:

$$ V_s = (V_t - V_w)/n_s $$

(1)

where $V_t$ is the total volume of the simulation box, $V_w$ is the expected volume for the number of water molecules in the system if they were isolated at identical temperature and pressure, and $n_s$ is the number of micellized molecules. Although the initial $V_t$ values were relatively high (0.60 and 0.67 nm$^3$ for the 33- and 67-HPC systems, 0.73 and 0.79 nm$^3$ for the 67- and 84-LPC systems and 0.85 nm$^3$ for the 84-PPC micelle), they reached significantly lower values within a few hundred ps, then remaining stable until the end of the trajectories (Table 1 and Fig. S1†). The aggregation number barely affects the volume available for each molecule, but the effect of changing the lyso-C$_2$PC chain length is evident. The volume corresponding to each CH$_2$ group in the micelles can be easily obtained from the differences $V_{67}$ (33-LPC) and $V_{84}$ (84-LPC), affording 26.89 and 27.04 Å$^3$, respectively.

This result is in agreement with those reported for PC lipid bilayers by Uhríková et al.$^{29}$ (27.52 Å$^3$ at 298 K) and by Nagle and Tristram-Nagle$^{31}$ (25.9 Å$^3$ at 293 K and 28.3 Å$^3$ at 303 K).

#### 3.3.2. Solvent-accessible surface

The solvent-accessible surface (SAS) area per molecule showed to be much more sensitive to the number of surfactant molecules in the micelle. Again, its values are unrealistically large at the beginning of the simulation but, in contrast to $V_s$, it takes between 10 and 25 ns to achieve a stable value. In the literature, the stabilization time for this magnitude in pre-built micelles goes typically from 1 to 15 ns.$^{2,3,12,14,37,38}$ The SAS area per molecule in the 33-HPC micelle is almost the same as in the 67-LPC micelle, while it is significantly lower in the case of the 67-HPC micelle and to a lesser extent in the system with 84 LPC units (Fig. 5 and Table 1). This can be summarized in the empirical finding of a linear correlation between the SAS area per surfactant and the $n/n_s$, ratio, with $n$ standing for the number of carbon atoms in the tail group (see Fig. S2†). Such a trend is mainly caused by the hydrophobic SAS.
3.3.3. Size and geometry. The distance of every N and P surfactant atom to the center of mass (c.o.m.) of the corresponding aggregate was determined to assess its size and dynamic deformation as a function of time (Fig. 6). The average of these values over all the molecules and frames could be interpreted as a measurement of the micelle geometrical radius. As expected, the results indicate that the larger the surfactant and the aggregation number, the bigger the micelle (Table 1). Moreover, the obtained aggregates are not rigid. The surfactants diffuse laterally over their surface and also move in and out along the radial direction, as illustrated in Fig. 6. The relatively large uncertainties in the N/P–c.o.m. distances (Table 1) result from these latter fluctuations. However, the N and P atoms of the surfactant molecules typically spend more time at the average distance from the c.o.m. than at any other distance, as illustrated by the normal distributions obtained from the corresponding data (Fig. S3†). This suggests a spherical geometry, agreeing with our visual inspection and with the analysis of PGSTE-NMR measurements made by Vitiello et al.75 The distance distributions are sharper for the P atoms than for the N atoms, which is consistent with the P–c.o.m. distances being slightly shorter in average, hence making the P atoms more hindered than the N atoms. The average N–c.o.m. distance can be understood as the hypothetical radius of a hard sphere with the same mass and density as the studied micelle and is expected to be closely correlated to the (larger) hydrodynamic radius, which includes solvent and shape effects.73–75 The comparison between both quantities is made in Table S2† where the hydrodynamic radii were collected from the literature.57,72,76 As a kind of consistency test, Fig. S2† shows that there is a good linear correlation between the SAS and hard-sphere areas per surfactant. The standard deviation of the straight line increases significantly when the P–c.o.m. distance is taken as the geometrical radius instead of N–c.o.m., despite the corresponding distribution being narrower. The geometry of the aggregates was also assessed by measuring their principal moment of inertia (Fig. S4†) to confirm that all the simulated micelles are nearly spherical.

3.3.4. Order of the aliphatic tails. The internal order of the aliphatic tails in micelles was estimated by defining the local order parameters as:

\[ S_i = (3\cos \theta - 1)0.5 \]  

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the vectors connecting carbon atoms \((i-1), i, i+1\) and \((i, i+2)\) in the surfactant tail. This allows quantifying deviations from \textit{trans} conformations of hydrocarbon chains regardless of the molecule orientation. As in the case of \( V_g \), this structural property does not seem to be highly sensitive to the micelle size, for a given lyso-C\(_{14}\)PC. The average \( S_i \) values for each C–C–C–C group of atoms at several simulation frames, including the initial conformation obtained just after the minimization of the system, are very similar to each other, typically ranging from 0.8 to 0.9 (then the average \( \theta \) going from 30 to 21°).
The $S_i$ values obtained for the different surfactants are also quite similar although their dispersion seems to decrease as the length of the lyso-C$_n$PC tail increases (Fig. 7). Thus, only for the simulations with HPC some $S_i$ values corresponding to the terminal C–C–C–C group are lower than 0.7, while the PPC tails exhibit the lowest amount of gauche defaults throughout the entire chain and trajectory.

**3.3.5. Orientation of the head groups.** To further explore the structure of the surfactants within the micelles, the P–N–c.o.m. angle formed by the segment joining the P and N atoms and that connecting the N atom with the c.o.m. of the aggregate was also measured as a function of time for each molecule (Fig. S5†). This is mostly a measurement of the N–P orientation with respect to the micelle radius. It was found that practically all the acute angles were reachable, although with different probability (Fig. S3†). As a result, the average cosine values exhibit a large uncertainty of $\sim$70% (Table 1). The angle distribution mode is asymmetrical and shifted toward low cosine values, indicating that the most frequent angle is close to 90° for all the simulations (Fig. S3†).

The distribution corresponding to the smaller aggregate – that gathering 33 HPC molecules – appears to be bimodal, exhibiting a second small maximum at cos(P–N–c.o.m.) close to 0.9. This is added evidence of some disorder in the molecules of that specific aggregate. Note also that the angle distribution mode for the 67-HPC micelle is borderline between unimodal and bimodal.

**3.3.6. Surfactant lateral diffusion within micelles.** The lateral diffusion of the surfactant molecules across each micelle, i.e. the diffusion over the surface of the aggregates, was estimated from the two-dimensional displacement distributions over specific time intervals ($\Delta t$). The employed methodology was identical to that recently described by Niemelä et al., but instead of considering lateral displacements in a plane the attention was fixed on the average length of the path followed by the N or P atom of the surfactant molecules over the micelle surface. As expected, the larger the time employed to determine the displacements the wider the distributions (Fig. 8 and S6†). The lateral diffusion coefficients obtained for 6 different $\Delta t$ intervals do not exhibit a significant dependence on the surfactant size or on the number of molecules in the aggregate (Fig. S7†), but their degree of time-dependence decreases with increasing micelle radius. Their values ($\sim$4 to $12 \times 10^{-7}$ and 7 to $9 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for the smallest and the biggest aggregate, respectively) are similar to those typically found at the upper range for lipids in fluid bilayers.77–79 Interestingly, the experimental intradiffusion coefficient of LPC micelles well above the cmc is $7 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$,72 which means that the diffusivity of a surfactant molecule in

![Fig. 7](#) Average local order parameters (eqn (2)) over the hydrocarbon chain of the surfactant molecules for the systems indicated in the plots and for the structures corresponding to 0 ns (○), 1 ns (□), 5 ns (△) and 250 ns (△) of each trajectory.

![Fig. 8](#) Distribution of the lateral displacements (l) of the P atoms over the surface of the 84-PPC micelle for 6 different $\Delta t$ values. Data were fitted to the expected two-dimensional random-walk distribution as detailed by Niemelä et al. (see eqn (3) in the ESI of the referenced work) to obtain the lateral diffusion coefficients displayed in Fig. S7†. Symbols (dot type, curve color): 1 ns (○, black), 2 ns (■, orange), 5 ns (△, blue), 10 ns (▲, cyan), 25 ns (x, red), and 50 ns (¥, green).
the micelle and that of a micelle in the solvent are comparable processes in aqueous solutions of LPC. Since the two-dimensional displacements employed to generate the distributions are the product of the micelle radius (average of either the N–c.o.m. or P–c.o.m. distances) by the swept angle at different time intervals, their values are bound. This is reflected in the shape of the distributions for the largest Δr values and in their poor fitting to the two-dimensional random-walk function, as well as in the decreasing diffusion coefficients obtained from them (Fig. S7†).

### 3.3.7. Hydration

The interaction of solvent molecules with the micellized surfactants was assessed in detail. For this analysis the lyso-C_{6}PCs were divided into four atomic groups (see Fig. 1) and the total number of waters at less than 4 Å from any atom of a given group was averaged over the surfactant molecules forming each micelle. Fig. S8† and Table 2 show that these hydration numbers seem to be more sensitive to n_{i} than to n. Also, increasing n_{i} gives rise to lower dispersion in the average number of waters contacting a given group, after the first few (10–25) ns of the transitory regime. The four groups are significantly more hydrated in the 33-HPC aggregate than in the rest of the micelles, including that formed by 67 HPC molecules. The difference between the micelles with 67 and 84 LPC molecules is also clear, with significantly less hydration for the latter. In contrast, the little differences found in the hydration levels of the 67-LPC and 84-PPC aggregates suggest that larger micelles could be formed with PPC molecules. This is consistent with the finding at 308 K of a concentration-independent PPC aggregation number of 139, by using static light scattering.⁸⁰ Also, the extrapolation of data reported by Vitello et al.²² for four shorter members of the lyso-C_{6}PC family at 298 K would afford for PPC in D_{2}O an aggregation number of 115. The N group has more water molecules within its (4 Å)-shell than the P group, as expected from the comparison of the N–c.o.m. and P–c.o.m. distances (Table 1). Outstandingly, in all the cases the G group is, by far, the most exposed to the solvent despite its central position in the lyso-C_{6}PC molecules. The direct visualization of the systems with a molecular viewer suggests that this paradoxical effect is due to the inability of trimethylammonium to participate in a hydrogen bond with the hydrophobic tail of the glycerol-ester moiety of being both a hydrogen bond donor and an acceptor. Anyway, this result is in good agreement with that obtained by Vitello et al.,²² who described the lyso-C_{6}PC micelles as presenting a thick external layer constituted by highly hydrated glycerophosphatidylcholine groups and identified the glycerol-ester moiety as being partly responsible for this feature.

### 3.3.8. Mean lifetime of the surfactant–solvent interactions

The dynamic behavior of waters was also monitored to assess the mean lifetime of their interaction with the different atomic groups of the surfactant molecules in the micelle. The decay functions of such interactions (Fig. 9 and S9†) are normalized by the corresponding number of contacts at the starting time in this analysis (50 ns of MD simulation), N_{0}, is used as normalizing constant and taken as reference to follow their lifetime through the variable N. The calculations were performed for the last 200 ns of the atomistic trajectories and for the atomic groups G (solid black), N (blue), P (red), and T (orange), as defined in Fig. 1. Dashed black curves stand for the sequential deletion in T group of the C-atom pairs that are closest to the surfactant polar head and show the corresponding decrease in the mean lifetime of the interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>T – 2</th>
<th>T – 4</th>
<th>T – 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPC (33)</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC (67)</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC (67)</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPC (84)</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPC (84)</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Mean lifetime of the water–surfactant contact number, N/N_{0}, intended to illustrate the time the interactions stand. Contacts are defined by using a cutoff of 4 Å. The number of contacts for a given group at the starting point (50 ns of MD simulation), N_{0}, is used as normalizing constant and taken as reference to follow their lifetime through the variable N. The calculations were performed for the last 200 ns of the atomistic trajectories and for the atomic groups G (solid black), N (blue), P (red), and T (orange), as defined in Fig. 1. Dashed black curves stand for the sequential deletion in T group of the C-atom pairs that are closest to the surfactant polar head and show the corresponding decrease in the mean lifetime of the interaction.
interactions increases when \( n_s \) increases. It is also worth noting that the differences found among the studied systems in the lifetime of the interaction of water molecules with the surfactant tails are relatively low when compared to what happens with group G and, to a lesser extent, with group N. This explains the lack of penetration of water molecules in the core of the micelles and suggests that the observed contacts in this region result from the surfactant dynamics rather than from the existence of entrapped waters.

4. Conclusions

By using multiscale MD simulation, a new strategy for the study of micelle-like nanoaggregates has been proposed. The method takes advantage of the large time scales accessible at the coarse-grained level, allowing the self-assembly stage to be efficiently completed. Afterwards, it makes use of a quick and straightforward technique based on geometrical interpolations/extrapolations to map the aggregates back to the atomistic level. It is undoubtedly useful when well-defined micelles are not reachable with AT resolution at affordable time scales. This allows further studies of more complex systems like complexes formed by structured molecular aggregates and macromolecules. The procedure is also expected to be well suited for dealing with lipid membranes, taking into account that the employed CG force field was parameterized for lipids in origin. In contrast to the reported mapping back techniques that are force field dependent, the one presented here could be applied to any combination of CG and AT force fields and with any MD engine, because it lies on simple geometrical rules. In fact, a CG model using ellipsoidal beans for the surfactant tail would probably work better in the present case (by reducing the time needed to attain the stationary state in the atomistic simulations), as the self-assembly would account for the high curvature of micelles more faithfully. The interpolation/extrapolation process could be refined to deal with proteins or DNA. Nevertheless, optimization would probably be worthless in the present case since the employed CG force field appears to be a more serious limitation for the study of micelles than the mapping back method. Note that the main aim of the present work is in no way to assess any CG or AT force field or parameterization of the employed molecules, but to test the combination of two resolution levels by mapping the system from low to high resolution. Another useful feature of the proposed micelle generation method is the possibility of selecting aggregates of the desired size amongst the array obtained from one or several CG self-assembly simulations. Thus, in the case of lyso phospholipid micelles, which are typically used as biomembrane mimics in studies of membrane interaction with peptides, our obvious choice pointed to the right side end of the size distributions.

A complete and detailed analysis of the structure and dynamics of lyso-C\(_4\)-PC micelles (\( n = 6, 12, 16 \)) was performed at the atomic level. The ambiguity inherent to the correspondence between coarse- and fine-grained descriptions was explored in the case of the CG MARTINI force field, taking advantage of it to compare micelles that only differ from each other either in the number of surfactants (\( n_s \)) or in their hydrocarbon chain length. This led to the empirical finding of a linear correlation between the SAS area per surfactant and the \( n/m \) ratio that is mainly governed by the hydrophobic SAS. At the opposite end, the molecular volume of micellized lyso-C\(_n\)-PCs seems to be a quantity insensitive to \( n_s \) changes for these systems. The analysis of the atomistic trajectories has also been helpful to identify which properties are the most appropriate to recognize the attainment of the stationary state (SAS area and hydration number) and which ones are the best suited to detect order differences between systems (order of the aliphatic tails and orientation of the head groups). The lateral diffusion of surfactants within micelles was determined for the first time, indicating that the individual molecules diffuse in the micelle as lipids do in fluid membranes. Additionally, the mean-lifetime of the interaction between the solvent and the surfactant molecules was also determined for different molecular groups. The latter analysis indicates that no water molecules are trapped in the micelle core and that the short lifetime interactions of the solvent with the surfactant tails are due to the movement of the micelle components in the radial direction within the aggregate. In addition to the implementation of a methodology to efficiently study molecular aggregates at the atomic level, this work also provides new insight that contributes to the better understanding of micellar aggregates.
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